

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 03/31/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Marvin J. Doman		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/779,894					S-90,669	7348
31972	7590	01/09/2004			EXAMINER	
		DEPARTMENT		•		
1000 INDEPENDENCE AVENUE, S.W. ATTN: GC-62 (HQ) MS 6F-067				43	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		20585-0162			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



FILING DATE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

DATE MAILED:

NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH 37 CFR 1.192(c)

9/12/03 The Appeal Brief filed 9/12/6 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206. ___ is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY

otice	6 from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the e of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS HESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
. 🗆	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🗆	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(3).
3. 🗆	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(4).
۱. 🔀	The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(5).
5. 🗡	The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(6).
6. □	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
a.	□ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
b.	□ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. 🗆	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(8).
8. 🗆	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(9).
9. j)	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Sec offactment
	·

APPLICATION NUMBER

Application/Control Number: 09/779,894

ArtUnit: 3652

As to item 5, appellant properly lists the two art rejections as issues "A" and "B" on pages 2 and 3 of the 9/12/03 brief.

However, what appellant also lists on said pages 2 and 3 of the 9/12/03 brief as, the <u>first</u> issue, the <u>second</u> issue, the <u>third</u> issue, and, the <u>fourth</u> issue, are actually <u>arguments</u>, <u>not</u> issues.

As set forth in MPEP 1206 under the heading "Appeal Brief Content", part "(6) Issues", each stated issue should correspond to a separate ground of rejection.

As also stated in said part (6) Issues, the statement would be limited to the issues presented, and should <u>not include any argument</u> concerning the merits of those issues.

Behrend/vs December 2, 2003

> HARVEY E. BEHREND PRIMARY EXAMINER